# Utilization of Sentinel-1 for Landslide Hazard Zoning on Agricultural Land Cover in Sumedang Regency

Haikal Muhammad Ihsan, Riko Arrasyid, Herdien Raka Moch Isya, Mamat Ruhimat

FPIPS – UPI

IGEOS - 2023

#### Introductory

- Sentinel-1 product is good enough to be used in landslide studies in mountainous areas with slope parameters.
- Landslide hazard can be mapped using GIS technology with empirical supporting parameters.
- Landslides can be a disaster if there are affected assets, one of which is agricultural areas, plantations and rice fields.
- The area has large material assets which are a source of food for the community, so it is very important to map.

## Research Location



### Method

 The landslide hazard zoning model refers to the Indonesian Disaster Risk book from BNPB. The weighting and scoring are taken from the model developed by BNPB, but there are modifications to the soil part, namely there is no soil solum, so that in this study the values for the soil solum are replaced with the Topographic Wetness Index values

No	Data	Parameter	Classifica tion	Class Value	Score	Weight
1	Sentinel-1 / SAR	Slope	15% -	1	0.250	0.3
-		~~~F	30%	-		
			30% -	2	0.500	
			50%	-		
			50% -	3	0.750	
			70%	-		
		Aspect	Flat	0	0.000	0.05
		1	North	1	0.125	
			Northwest	2	0.250	
			West	3	0.375	
			Northeast	4	0.500	
			Southwest	5	0.625	
			East	6	0.750	
			Southeast	7	0.875	
			South	8	1.000	
		Curvature	<200 m	1	0.250	0.05
			200 –	2	0.500	
			500m			
			500 –	3	0.750	
			1000m			
			>1000m	4	1.000	
		Topograhic	0.509 –	1	0.250	0.05
		Wetnes Index	6.122			
			6.122 -	2	0.500	
			9.981			_
			9.981 -	3	0.750	
2	Conta	D. I.T.	22.8/4	1	0.222	0.0
2	Geology	коск Туре	Alluvial	1	0.333	0.2
			ROCK Sadimanta	2	0.667	-
			ry Pock	2	0.007	
			Volcanic	3	1.000	-
			Rock	5	1.000	
		Distance from	>400	1	0.200	0.05
		the Fault	300 -	2	0.400	
			400m			
			200 –	3	0.600	
			300m			
			100 –	4	0.800	
			200m			
			0 - 100m	5	1.000	
3	Soil	Soil Texture	Sand	1	0.333	0.1
			Clay –	2	0.667	
			Sand			_
			Clay	3	1.000	
4	Meteorology	Rainfall	<2000 mm	1	0.333	0.2
			2000 –	2	0.667	
			3000 mm			4
			>3000 mm	3	1.000	

#### Results



Very high

Carmin Internation Internet P Cotto, DEBOO USOB, FAO NPS, NROAX aster fit, Constants Servey, Estructure, MLTI, Let Carte Glorg Kong), et al Catlers, and the GIS user Community.

Sources: Ew . GEBOD: NOAK Astorial Geogra Camila HERE



#### Results

Agriculture	griculture Classification Area ha		Percentage	Total Percentage
	Very low	32063093.83	7.60%	
	Low	88976621.02	21.10%	
Dryland farming	Medium	103744425.51	24.60%	75.75%
	High	65932756.29	15.64%	
	Very high	28691337.37	6.80%	
	Very low	6284947.02	1.49%	
	Low	25526360.04	6.05%	
Ricefield	Medium	33660405.12	7.98%	23.13%
	High	21829507.69	5.18%	
	Very high	10249774.63	2.43%	
	Very low	708385.92	0.17%	
	Low	1613172.18	0.38%	
Plantation	Medium	1092661.39	0.26%	1.12%
	High	1119632.96	0.27%	
	Very high	191469.63	0.05%	
Tota	al	421684550.60	100%	100%



### CONCLUSION

• Landslide areas in Sumedang Regency tend to spread in the Southern Region. This area is a complex of hills with steep slopes, old volcanic parent rock which is quite brittle, high rainfall, clay soil texture, and the largest area of lineaments. Other landslide-prone areas are located around Mount Tampomas, whose geographical conditions are not much different from the Southern Region of Sumedang Regency. The biggest proportion of landslide hazard lies in dry land agriculture with a percentage of 75.75%, while the lowest is plantations around 1.12% and paddy fields with 23.13%. Sentinel-1 can be an alternative to landslide mapping by building a radar product into several slope parameters. The landslide locations in the high and very high classifications correspond to the slope aspect parameters built by Sentinel-1

### References

·	[1]	H. Sun, W. Li, M. Scaioni, J. Fu, X. Guo, and J. Gao, "Influence of spatial heterogeneity on landslide susceptibility in the transboundary area of the Himalayas," Geomorphology, vol. 433, no. May, p. 108723, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2023.108723.
•	[2]	A Arrasyid, R., Ihsan, H. M., Darsiharjo., Ruhimat, M., Pratama, "Suitability Evaluation of Land Use / Land Cover (LULC) Towards Landslide Prone Areas in Structural and Volcano Landform," vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 61–75, 2023.
•	[3]	K. Dai et al., "Monitoring activity at the Daguangbao mega-landslide (China) using Sentinel-1 TOPS time series interferometry," Remote Sens. Environ., vol. 186, pp. 501–513, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2016.09.009.
•	[4]	X. Liu et al., "Integration of Sentinel-1 and ALOS/PALSAR-2 SAR datasets for mapping active landslides along the Jinsha River corridor, China," Eng. Geol., vol. 284, no. February, p. 106033, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106033.
•	[5]	H. Shankar, D. Singh, and P. Chauhan, "Landslide deformation and temporal prediction of slope failure in Himalayan terrain using PSInSAR and Sentinel-1 data," Adv. Sp. Res., vol. 70, no. 12, pp. 3917–3931, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2022.04.062.
•	[6]	M. Santangelo, M. Cardinali, F. Bucci, F. Florucci, and A. C. Mondini, "Exploring event landslide mapping using Sentinel-1 SAR backscatter products," Geomorphology, vol. 397, p. 108021, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.108021.
•	[7]	T. Zhang et al., "Detection of active landslides in southwest China using sentinel-1 and ALOS-2 data," Procedia Comput. Sci., vol. 181, no. 2019, pp. 1138–1145, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.311.
•	[8]	K. C. Niraj, S. K. Gupta, and D. P. Shukla, "Kotrupi landslide deformation study in non-urban area using DInSAR and MTInSAR techniques on Sentinel-1SAR data," Adv. Sp. Res., vol. 70, no. 12, pp. 3878–3891, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2021.11.042.
•	[9]	Q. Xu et al., "Remote sensing for landslide investigations: A progress report from China," Eng. Geol., vol. 321, no. August 2022, p. 107156, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.enggeo.2023.107156.
•	[10]	D. K. Dwivedi, A. K. Saraf, and J. D. Das, "Geoinformatics-based investigation of slope failure and landslide damming of chenab river, lahaul-spiti, Himachal Pradesh, India," Not. Hazards Res., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 186–195, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.nhres.2023.02.008.
•	[11]	B. Li, W. Jiang, Y. Li, Y. Luo, Q. Jiao, and Q. Zhang, "ScienceDirect Monitoring and analysis of Woda landslide (China) using InSAR and Sentinel-1 data," Adv. Sp. Res., no. xxxx, pp. 1–14, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2023.04.055.
•	[12]	H. M. Ihsan and S. S. Sahid, "Vertikal Accuracy Assessment On Sentinel-1, Alos Palsar, And Demnas In The Ciater Basin," J. Geogr. Geo, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 16–25, 2021, doi: 10.17509/gea.v21i1.29931.
•	[13]	J. Das, P. Saha, R. Mitra, A. Alam, and M. Kamruzzaman, "GIS-based data-driven bivariate statistical models for landslide susceptibility prediction in Upper Tista Basin, India," Heliyon, vol. 9, no. 5, p. e16186, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16186.
•	[14]	A. A. A. Rahman, N. Abd Majid, N. Adhalysha Ahli, A. Sharifuddin Ab Latip, and A. Mohd Taib, "The capability of SNAP software application to identify landslide using InSAR technique," Phys. Chem. Earth, vol. 131, no. August 2022, p. 103427, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2023.103427.
•	[15]	L. Bragagnolo, R. V. da Silva, and J. M. V. Grzybowski, "Landslide susceptibility mapping with r. landslide: A free open-source GIS-integrated tool based on Artificial Neural Networks," Environ. Model. Softw., vol. 123, no. October 2019, p. 104565, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104565.
•	[16]	Y. Wang et al., "Automatic detection and update of landslide inventory before and after impoundments at the Lianghekou reservoir using Sentinel-1 InSAR," Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf., vol. 118, no. February, p. 103224, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jag.2023.103224.
•	[17]	V. E. Nwazelibe, C. O. Unigwe, and J. C. Egbueri, "Testing the performances of different fuzzy overlay methods in GIS-based landslide susceptibility mapping of Udi Province, SE Nigeria," Catena, vol. 220, no. PA, p. 106654, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2022.106654.
•	[18]	N. Abbas, S. Afsar, B. Jan, E. A. Sayla, and F. Nawaz, "GIS based model for the landslides risk assessment. A case study in Hunza-Nagar settlements, Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan," Environ. Challenges, vol. 7, no. February, p. 100487, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.envc.2022.100487.
•	[19]	P. K. Rawat and D. B. Pant, "Geo-Ecological GIS Development to Investigate Landslides and Slope Instability Along Frontal Zone of Central Himalaya," SSRN Electron. J., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 196–204, 2022, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4251397.
•	[20]	D. Asmare, "Landslide hazard zonation and evaluation around Debre Markos town, NW Ethiopia—a GIS-based bivariate statistical approach," Sci. African, vol. 15, p. e01129, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.sciaf.2022.e01129.
•	[21]	B. Zhao, Q. Dai, L. Zhuo, S. Zhu, Q. Shen, and D. Han, "Assessing the potential of different satellite soil moisture products in landslide hazard assessment," Remote Sens. Environ., vol. 264, no. June, p. 112583, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2021.112583.
•	[22]	A. A. Mekonnen, T. K. Raghuvanshi, K. V. Suryabhagavan, and T. Kassawmar, "GIS-based landslide susceptibility zonation and risk assessment in complex landscape: A case of Beshilo watershed, northern Ethiopia," Environ. Challenges, vol. 8, no. July, p. 100586, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.envc.2022.100586.
•	[23]	I. Sonker, J. N. Tripathi, and A. K. Singh, "Landslide susceptibility zonation using geospatial technique and analytical hierarchy process in Sikkim Himalaya," Quat. Sci. Adv., vol. 4, p. 100039, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.qsa.2021.100039.
•	[24]	J. Efiong, D. I. Eni, J. N. Obiefuna, and S. J. Etu, "Geospatial modelling of landslide susceptibility in Cross River State of Nigeria," Sci. African, vol. 14, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.sciaf.2021.e01032.
•	[25]	T. Arumugam, S. Kinattinkara, S. Velusamy, M. Shanmugamoorthy, and S. Murugan, "GIS based landslide susceptibility mapping and assessment using weighted overlay method in Wayanad: A part of Western Ghats, Kerala," Urban Clim., vol. 49, no. December 2022, p. 101508, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.uclim.2023.101508.
	[26]	Ihsan, H. M., Astari, A. J., Bratanegara, A. S., Aliyan, S. A. and Wulandari, E. P. "The Comparison of Spatial Models in Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) Study," Int. J. Geoinformatics, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 27–33, 2021, doi: 10.52939/jig.v17i6.2061.